this will be a fruitful session. I have pleasure in seconding the motion.

On motion by Hon. G. Throssell, debate adjourned.

House adjourned at 4.10 p.m.

Legislative Ussembly, Thursday, 28th July, 1910.

| | | | PA | UE |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------|-----|----|
| Meeting of the Assembly | | | | 14 |
| Message: Opening by Commissioner | TB | | | 14 |
| Swearing-in of Members | | | | 14 |
| 101 1 D | | | *** | 14 |
| Demise of King Edward VII.: Acce | | | | |
| George V | ., | | | 14 |
| Late Hon. J. Price : Condolence | | | | 15 |
| Papers presented | | | | 15 |
| Statutes Compilation: Pharmacy | | Poiso | | |
| Law | | | | 16 |
| Bill introduced, Mount Lawley Rese | rves 1 | R. | | 16 |
| Address-in-Reply, first day | | | | 16 |
| | | | | |

MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY.

The Legislative Assembly met at noon, pursuant to proclamation by His Excellency the Governor, which proclamation was read by the Clerk (Mr. C. Lee Steere).

MESSAGE: OPENING BY COMMISSIONERS.

A message from the Commissioners appointed by the Governor to do all things necessary for the opening of Parliament requested the attendance of members of the Legislative Assembly in the Legislative Council Chamber; and hon, members having accordingly proceeded to that Chamber and heard the Commission read, they returned to the Assembly Chamber.

SWEARING-IN OF MEMBERS.

His Honour Mr. Justice McMillan, having been commissioned by the Governor, appeared in the Chamber to administer to members the oath of allegiance to His Majesty King George V.

Mr. Speaker and the members present took and subscribed the oath.

The Commissioner then retired. Mr. Speaker took the Chair.

New Members (3).

The Clerk announced the return of writs for the election of members for Fremantle, Gascoyne, and Forrest, showing that Mr. W. A. Murphy, Mr. W. J. Butcher, and Mr. P. L. O'Loghlen, respectively, had been duly elected.

Mr. Murphy, Mr. Butcher, and Mr. O'Loghlen took and subscribed the oath as required by statute, and signed the

ELECTORAL—BEVERLEY VACANCY.

Mr. SPEAKER (Hon. T. F. Quinlan) I have to announce that a vacancy has been created in the electorate of Beverley.

The PREMIER (Sir Newton J. Moore: In accordance with the information received from your Honour, I move that—

It is declared by this House that a vacancy of the seat for the Beverley electoral district has occurred by the disqualipecation of the late member under Paragraph 6 of Section 31 of the Constitution Acts Amendment Act, 1899.

Question passed.

DEMISE OF KING EDWARD VII: ACCESSION OF KING GEORGE V.

The PREMIER (Sir Newton J. Moore): In accordance with the information we have received in the Message from the Governor, I move that the following Address be transmitted to His Excellency for presentation to His Majesty the King:—

We, the members of the Legislative Assembly in the Parliament of the State of Western Australia, take this the earliest opportunity of expressing our deep sympathy in the great sorrow which your Majesty has sustained through the death of your illustrious father, and our late beloved Sovereign, King Edward VII.

Mr. BATH (Brown Hill): I second the motion.

Question passed, members standing. The PREMIER: I move that the following Address be also transmitted to His Excellency for presentation to His Majesty the King:—

We, the members of the Legislatica Assembly in the Parliament of the State of Western Australia, humbly offer our sincere congratulations on your Majesty's accession to the Throne. We desire to assure your Majesty of our loyalty and devotion, and to express the hope that your Majesty may enjoy a long and prosperous reign.

Mr. BATH: I second the motion. Question passed, members standing.

THE LATE HON, J. PRICE—CONDOLENCE.

The PREMIER (Sir Newton J. Moore): Since the prorogation υf Parliament last session death has visited our own circle, and, as members are aware, the late Hon. the member for Fremantle. has been removed from amongst us. Mr. Price was a member of the Assembly since 1905, representing the constituency of Fremantle, and for some four years of the period he was associated with myself in the present Government. loyal representative of his constituency, he discharged his duties both as a member of Parliament and as a Minister in a manner which won the appreciation of the whole community. He was a warm personal friend of many members who are in the House to-day, and I feel I am voicing the unanimous feeling of the House when I move-

That a message of condolence be sent by Mr. Speaker on behalf of the Legislative Assembly to the wiodow and family of the late member for Fremantle, the Hon. James Price.

Mr. BATH (Brown Hill): In seconding the motion moved by the Premier, I can only add, on behalf of members of the Opposition, and it was with deep reoret we learned of the death of Mr. Price under such sad circumstances. can be no doubt that, having the complaint from which be suffered, the work in which Mr. Price was engaged as a member of Parliament and as an Administrator, shortened the days which might otherwise have been left to him had he retired to private life. We deeply regret the circumstances in which he died on what may be termed a foreign shore, circumstances which must have been particularly sad to his wife and family. I join in the expression of regret, and also respectfully offer on behalf of members our condolences to Mrs. Price and the family.

Question passed; members standing.

(Sitting suspended from 12.55 to 3 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker and hon, members, in response to summons, proceeded to the Legislative Council Chamber, and having heard His Excellency deliver the opening Speech (vide Council report, ante), they returned to the Assembly Chamber. Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair.

PAPERS PRESENTED.

By the Premier: (1.) Annual reports of--(a) Superintendent of Public Charities, (b) Principal Medical Officer on Medical, Health, Factories, Early Closing, Vaccination and Quarantine, (c.) Chief Inspector of Liquors; (2.) Rules Regulations under-(a) Dentists Act, amendment of Rule 25, (b) Jetties Regulation Act. 1878, wharfage rates, Point Sampson and Cossack jetties in connection with Roebourne Tramway, (e) Port Regulations, (d) Bunbury Harbour Board. (e) Perth Public Hospital, (f) Shark's Bay Pearl-shell Fisheries Act. 1892. (g) District Fire Brigades Act; (3.) By-laws made by—(a) High School -amendment to by-law 8, (b) Central Board of Health, (c) Municipalities of Carnaryon, Claremont, Cottesloe. aldton, Guildford, Leederville, Menzies, North Perth, and City of Perth, (d) Local Boards of Health at Augusta, Black Range, Davyhurst-Mulwarrie, Denmark, Derby, Kelmscott Road District, Meekatharra, Newcastle, Perth, Ravensthorpe, Three Springs, and West Guildford; (4.) Proclamations under—(a) Fisheries Act, closing certain waters, (b) Pearl Dealers' Licensing Act, declaring Denham to be a place where pearl fisheries are carried on.

By the Minister for Works: (1) Bylaws made by—(a) Goldfields Water Supply Administration, Roads Boards of Upper Gascoyne, Wyndham, Mt. Margaret, Moora, Murray, Black Range, Cue, Brunswick (poundage fees), Broomehill, and Mourambine (poundage fees): (2.) Leonora-Gwalia Tramwav by-laws and regulations; (3.) Annual report for 1908-9 of Metropolitan Board of Water Supply and Sewerage.

By the Minister for Mines and Railways: (1.) Annual report of the department of Mines; (2.) Explosives Act, 1895, Order of Council; (3.) Mines Regulation Act, amendments to regulations under; (4.) Reports and returns in accordance with Sections 54 and 83 of the Government Railways Act, 1904, for quarters ended 31st December, 1909, and the 31st March and 30th June, 1910; (5.) Railways by-law 59, liability of Commissioner of Railways in respect to ship passengers' baggage and effects.

By the Attorney General and Minister for Education: Annual Report of the Education Department.

STATUTES COMPILATION—PHAR-MACY AND POISONS LAW.

Mr. SPEAKER laid on the Table a compilation of the Pharmacy and Poisons Act, 1894, and the amendments thereto, made in accordance with a resolution of the previous session.

BILL—MOUNT LAWLEY RESERVES.
The PREMIER (Sir Newton J.
Moore): In order to maintain the undoubted rights and privileges of the
House, I move (without notice) for leave
to introduce a Bill to vest certain re-

serves at Mount Lawley in His Majesty, to change the purposes of such reserves, and to classify them as permanent reserves of Class (A.).

Leave given; Bill introduced and read a first time.

ADDRESS-IN-REPLY.

First Day.

Mr. MURPHY (Fremantle): I have the honour to move the adoption of the following Address-in-Reply to His Excellency's Speech:—

May it please your Excellency,— We, the Legislative Assembly of the Parliament of the State of Western Australia in Parliament assembled, beg to express loyalty to our Most Gracious Sovereign, and to thank your Excellency for the Speech you have been pleased to address to Parliament.

Before I proceed to deal with the subject matter of the Speech, I desire upon my own behalf to crave from the members of this House the same kindness. the same courtesy, and the same toleration which they have tendered to new members under similar circumstances. I am well aware that on many previous occasions new members have occupied a position similar to that which I occupy this afternoon, namely, as mover of the Address-in-Reply; and I am perfectly willing, in fact I am compelled to admit that most, if not all of them, have acquitted themselves of that task far more creditably than I shall be able to do. But, Mr. Speaker. I venture to assert that none of them have had such an easy task to perform as that which will fall to my lot to-day.

Mr. Angwin: They have never had so much in a speech before.

Mr. MURPHY: Probably not. My fortunate postion is that it must undoubtedly be very easy for me to stand here and say good things of a Government which has done such magnificent work in connection with the development and the progress of the State as the Moore Government have done since they first took office. Pleasant, indeed, it is for me from a personal standpoint to

find myself this afternoon a supporter of a Government who have changed, in a period of four years, despondency and depression into hope and comparative prosperity. The first subject matter in the Speech that I desire to refer briefly is the inestimable loss our Empire has suffered since this House last met by the death of our late Sovereign, King Edward VII. I am perfectly well aware, after the very brilliant speeches which have been made in connection with this sad event, not only in this State, not only in the Australian Commonwealth, not only throughout the British Empire, but in every civilised country of the world, spoken in every civilised language, made by the most eminent statesmen, and the most briliant orators, all of whom have truthfully borne testimony to the great merits possessed by our late Sovereign, that anything I might have to say must appear very feeble indeed when compared with that which has already been uttered. But, Mr. Speaker, amongst the many things which have been said about his late Majesty which appealed to me more than anything else, was the remark of one speaker who proclaimed that King Edward was more than a King, that he was a man. I say that the late King Edward truly was a man, a very humane man, subject to all the frailties of our human nature, but at the same time possessing virtues that very few of his crities possess. I do not want to labour the subject. I only want to say that amongst many of those who mourn his loss, I suppose none regretted his death so much as those who realised from their personal positions what his death meant. In the Speech of the Governor reference is made to the great efforts of our late King to promote universal peace, and, I suppose, the masses of all the nations of the world, those whom we may at least call truthful believers in the future christianity, the men of peace, to those who realise and believe that war, or to put it in plain English, the destruction of human life, is fraught with so much distress, the death of King Edward was a sad blow indeed. To those who had come to realise in the weight of taxation that is necessary to keep up standing armies and standing navies of nations, the crushing out from life of large numbers of the men and women, and many cases children of the nations concerned, the death of King Edward was also a sad blow indeed, and I am quite sure I cannot say any more than this, that by his death we have lost a great King; by his death we have lost a great man, and we can express the hope here on both sides of the House, that his successor, our present King, and other rulers of the world, may take as an example the brilliant record of King Edward's reign as the guiding star for their own political actions, and it will be said of them, as I think this afternoon we can say of our late King, the world is all the better for King Edward having lived. I want now to refer to that other sad which has taken place since this Parliament last assembled here, and in which this Parliament is more particularly concerned. I allude to the death of the late member for Fremantle, the Hon. James Price. 1 knew the late Mr. Price intimately. We were on very friendly terms for a number of years before his first election to this Chamber; and though at times I may have differed from him. and have argued with him. I for one moment lost my high estimation of the late member as a man, or my utmost belief in his uprightness, his integrity, and his honour. It is possible to conceive that in this Chamber he met many earnest and keen political opponeuts, who were prepared in the heat of political debate to give knocks and take some in return. quite sure, however, that the did Mr. Price not have a personal By his death the Government have lost, not only a true and valuable colleague, but this House has also lost an esteemed member, and I know that Fremantle has lost a representative who, at all times, in keeping with his high Ministerial office, and the duty he owed to the State in general, did that he could to advance its interests and promote its prosperity. the poorer by his death: can only hope, from a personal selfish standpoint, whether my career in

this House be long or short, my conduct may be such to all parties concerned that I may, at least, make the sense of that loss less keen than it may otherwise have been. As a new member I suppose I may be considered somewhat presuptuous if I lay it down as an axiom that it is a wise thing for any Government, a wise thing for any Parliament, a wise thing for any individual members of Parliament sometimes to pause in their work of progressive legislation and take a retrogressive glauce at the work already performed; because from that retrogressive glance, one may gain encouragement to go on with one's policy either on account of good work already performed, or from experience gained by any mistakes that may have been made, or one may gain renewed determination or increased energy to perform those things which, owing to want of time or lack of opportunity, one has had to leave undone in the past. Without wearying the House I propose to take a very slight glance at the condition of the State four years ago. when the Moore Government first came into office, as compared with what it is to-day in some of its main features. know that hon, members of the House are probably more conversant with the information I propose to give than I am myself, but somehow or other they do not seem to make use of it when they get outside on political platforms, and I think it just as well at the opening of a new session that the information should be given in a very concise form. For a considerable number of years, both in the House and outside, it has been recognised that the principal factor necessary in the further development and increased prosperity of the State is the important factor of additional population; but it has remained for the Moore Government to do something that has made that increased population possible. I might have gone down to the various departments and got special information and statistics, but I though it was much better to take a document that was not only open to every member but open to the Four years ago, in public in general. 1906, the year when the Moore Government first took office, the population of

the State was, in round numbers, 254,000. whereas to-day it is 283,000, a clear gain of 29,000 people. Members on sides of the House will recognise what that means, as increased wealth, to a young country such as Western Australia It seems to me absolutely absurd to attempt to attract people to the State unless we can offer them some advantages as regards greater social standing, or better wages, or more certainty of constant employment, or a greater chance of ultimate success in life; but I venture to assert that the Moore Government have done many things in that direction to attract population to these shores. land laws to-day are the most liberal of any portion of the British Empire; the financial assistance we render to those who propose to go on our lands is of a greater extent and of a larger amount than that which can be received elsewhere; while the period of time over which our settlers are permitted to convert conditional purchase land into freehold is much more than could be classified as ordinarily liberal. I do not want to weary the House, but I want to show what has taken place as the working of the Moore Government policy during the last four years. In 1906 the total amount \mathbf{of} acreage in the State under crop, under permanent artificially-sown grasses, and including new ground cleared during the season and prepared for next season's crop right down to the new paddock just ringbarked and fenced was 2,452,936 acres. That was at the end of February, 1906. On the other hand, at the end of February, 1910, that acreage increased to 4,669,999 acres, pretty nearly double the acreage existing four years Then the purposes to which that land was put then and is put now are very interesting, although somewhat stale news to members of the House. Four years ago the total acreage under wheat amounted to 195,071 acres: to-day it amounts to 448,918 acres, considerably Four years ago the more than double. amount of acreage in the State under oats was 15,713 acres; to-day there are 73,342 acres under oats. Four years ago there were 3,665 acres under barley; today the quantity is 8,022 acres. And what has been the marvellous production by the greater development of our lands? Four years ago the total amount of wheat produced in the State was 2,308,305 bushels; last year we produced 5,602,368 bushels of wheat. Four years ago we produced only 283,987 bushels of oats; last year we produced 1,248,162 bushels of oats. Four years ago we produced 49,000 bushels of barley; last year we produced 101.673 bushels of barley. And so it is with all other crops we might go These are facts that speak more for the good the Moore Government have done in the development of the country than any platform speeches may do; these are figures that are published by the statistical bureau of the State: and I suppose hon, members on both sides of the House will accept them as reliable.

Mr. Collier: Give us some figures about the mining industry.

Mr. MURPHY: I will when I come to them, but I want to give a few figures that may interest the member for East Fremantle who started to celebrate my advent to the House by claiming to be the first interjector. Four years ago the total value of wheat exported from the State amounted to the magnificent sum of £7. That is, in the year 1906 we exported wheat from Western Australia to the value of £7 sterling—no more and no less. Last year, in 1909, we exported from the State wheat to the value of £120,000, and for the first five months of this year we have exported wheat from this State amounting to £359,000 in value. And if any further proof is necessary of the magnificent development and progress the State has made, I would like to give it in what is considered by all classes of politicians as the most reliable barometer. If we desire to gauge a country's prosperity we are generally told to look at the savings the people of the country can put on one side for rainy days. Consequently I took the trouble to look up the amount of money deposited in our Government Savings Bank four years ago and compare the figures with what was done during the last year. I do not think that any member will deny that the majority of those who use the Government Savings Bank are the wage-earning class; and consequently, when we see a much larger advance in the amount deposited in one year we can say that the individual comfort and prosperity wage-earning classes of the State are In 1906 the amount deincreasing. posited in the Government Savings Bank was £1.734.000. That was at the end of June, 1906. At the end of June, 1910, there was £2.400,000 deposited. During this period our population, I have already shown, increased by 29,000, and the average per head of the population is rather interesting. Whereas in 1906 per head of the population the Government Savings Bank deposits averaged £8 17s. 8d., this year they average £11 19s. 9d. We want no further evidence that as far as the wage-earning classes of the State are concerned their prosperity has increased year by year during the regime of the Moore Government. That is what the Moore Government have done in the past. As far as the agricultural development of the State is concerned, hon, members know that, in keeping with their agricultural policy, the Moore Government recognised that, no matter how good or how fertile the land of the State might be, it was absolutely absurd to send men a long way from a railway with any hope that those men would make a success, unless they were prepared to provide them with some means by which they could get their produce easily and cheaply to the markets of the world. Therefore the agricultural railway policy of the State had gone ahead with land settlement, and during the time the Moore Government bave had the reins of administration of this State in their hands they have pretty nearly doubled the railway mileage they found when they came into office in 1906. And not content with first settling the people on the land on terms more liberal than intending settlers can find elsewhere, not with finding them railway communication by which their products can be brought to the markets in which Governthey are to be sold, the further ment have gone a step and seen that at whichever ports of Western Australia the four those products reached ample shipping accommodation should be provided to see that those products were shipped as cheaply as possible. Four years ago when the Moore Government took office. there was one port in this State. believe that there had been such ports as Albany, Geraldton, and Bunbury in existence in days gone by but they dropped out of the recollection of most To-day we have four prosper-. people. ous ports.

Mr. Angwin: And Fremantle is dropping out.

Mr. MURPHY: Fremantle is not dropping out, and I would be sorry to think that any Fremantle person was so narrow-minded as to think, with the present progress of the State, which we hope will long continue, that the whole trade, import and export, should be concentrated at Fremantle. It is absurd to think so: but Fremantle people desire, and have elected me, as they have elected the members of East, and South, and North Fremantle, to see that the trade which belongs to Fremantle because of its geographical position, should go to it, and that that portion of the State which has Albany as its natural port should give its trade to that port, and the same with Bunbury and Geraldton. We do not want one-pennyworth of trade at Fremantle more than we are entitled to.

Mr. Collier: What about Esperance?

Mr. MURPHY: Is there such a place as Esperance? I never heard of such a place until I saw something of an agitation recently about Esperance. Where did that start?

Mr. Collier: Your horizon is bounded by Fremantle.

Mr. MURPHY: My horizon is bounded by commonsense, and I shall be prepared to support the Esperance movement when one grain of commonsense overcomes that desire to create ill-feeling between the goldfields and the coast

the second of the transfer of the second

which has been so evident for some time past. I want to say that I have inadequately dealt with some of the great advantages which have accrued to this State since the Moore Government first took office, and which have been mainly due to their policy and to their administration of public affairs. I am pleased to see by the Speech of the Governor that they intend to pursue this policy in the future. I hail with pleasure the announcement made in the Speech that it is the intention of the Government to push on with the agricultural railway policy, because no matter what interests we have in this State, no matter what particular portion of this State we may represent, the whole future and prosperity of the State is founded upon our recognising that the future rests on the development of our agricultural industry. No one should be more grateful for the development of the agricultural industry than the people of the coastal towns. I say here fearlessly that no town in Western Australia has benefited so much by the agricultural policy of the Government as the town which I have the honour to represent to-day. I hope, therefore, there will be little opposition from this House to the proposition of the Government to go on with the comprehensive scheme of agricultural railway extension. I do not think there will be, because I believe all members of this House have recognised from the good results that have taken place in the past what we may expect if we assist the Government to continue to carry on this policy. I notice that the Government are going to introduce a Bill which last session, so I am informed, received the unanimous assent of this Chamber, but which met its death blow in another place, I refer to the measure dealing with the amendment of the Constitution, by which the franchise of the other Chamber might be reduced. I say at once that when the Bill comes before this House it will receive my support. At the same time I tell hon, members that I think they are making a mountain out of a mole hill. cannot see how the difference between the £25 qualification and that of £15 will bring about very great results as regards the number of electors who will be qualified to vote. This is tinkering with legislation. I could understand, and would be better able to support a measure giving universal household suffrage as regards the other Chamber—

Mr. Angwin: You can do that now.

Mr. MURPHY: Hon. members must not take me up wrongly. I say at once that while I would give my support to such a Bill, I am opposed to and will always fight the idea of abolishing the second Chamber. I believe in the second Chamber because I recognise, no matter how much may be said against it, that every liberty and every privilege we enjoy to-day, no matter how they may have been retarded or opposed, have been granted to us through the bicameral system.

Mr. Scaddan: Not as the people desire it,

Mr. MURPHY: Just as the people desire it, and anyway whether it is so or not I refuse to experiment in a new form of Government of which I know nothing, and about which no hon, member who advocates it can, from experience, give any positive evidence.

Mr. Scaddan: What about Canada?

Mr. MURPHY: The condition of the provinces of Canada is totally different from the conditions as they exist in Australia. In Canada the provinces are not sovereign: here the States are. In Canada the Dominion Parliament is sovereign; not so in Australia. We took this precaution when we entered Federation. As I said before, I am pleased to notice that the Government have announced their intention to again introduce the measure dealing with the reduction of the franchise of the Upper House. ally. I do not think it will make much difference to the number of electors, but I justify my advocacy of it by saving that there is a certain number, probably a considerable number, who, a few years ago, when rents were high, had a vote for the second Chamber, and who, owing to the reduction of rents, are now living in the same houses and are disqualified from voting because of the reduction of those rents.

Mr. Troy: Then it is the house that has the vote?

Mr. MURPHY: That is what I am objecting to. There is another which I do not expect will receive very much opposition. I would be surprised even if it raised an interesting debate as far as this House is concerned. I refer to the Bill dealing with the redistribution of seats. I am sure that is a measure that all members recognise the justice of, how important it is that it should be brought forward, and how important it is that it should be passed before the next general elections. I am quite sure, therefore, that it will receive little opposition.

Mr. Angwin: It depends on the boundaries.

Mr MURPHY: Exactly. By a peculiar coincidence we can all see the justice of altering someone else's electorate, or wiping it out altogether, but we can never see the justice of interfering with our own so long as we had a big majority at the previous election. The member for Kanowna will recollect the great difficulty we had when we attempted a similar thing in another Parliament in Australia, and he will also remember the interesting debate and the great amount of talk that took place with regard to the change of names. When it was desired to bring two adjoining electorates into one the question arose as to what that one should be called. Smith wanted to call it by its old name and Brown wanted his name to stand, and so weeks and weeks went on until eventually the numbers were reduced from 141 to 125.

Mr. Angwin: Are you giving us a lesson in stone-walling?

Mr. MURPHY: I do not want to give the hon, member a lesson in stonewalling. I hope that I may be able to take a few lessons from him in that direction. There is no desire on my part to go right through the Address-in-Reply, but I may say that I am pleased to find that the Government is going to do something at least in the higher degree of scholastic attainments as far as the youths of Western Australia are concerned. The time has arrived when a university in this State should be brought into existence. I am quite sure as long as facilities are offered by which the child of the poorest parent can, by merit or ability, and with the aid of Government subsidies, ultimately graduate at that university, that the Bill will receive little opposition.

Mr. Seaddan: What about liquor law reform?

Mr MURPHY: I am coming to that now. Hon, members will notice that I am drinking water at the present time.

Mr. Walker: We are not so sure of that.

Mr. MURPHY: Then I will pass it over to the hon, member. I see that it is the intention of the Government to reintroduce the Local Option Bill. I want to say at once that as far as allowing this matter to go to the people is concerned, no one in this House will fight more than I shall to secure that end. consider the question is of sufficient importance to the people of any locality that they should be allowed to decide whether a public house should or should not exist in that particular locality. also say that this House and the people of the country have no right to do an injustice even with a majority, to any man in the community, and consequently if the neople of any district decide to close up one or two houses in their locality the entire people of the State should be prepared to pay compensation for so doing. It was proposed last session, I believe. that the remaining houses of the trade should contribute the monetary compensation to those which were closed up. I am entirely opposed to that form of compensation. I do not see upou what ground it can be said a public house or a number of them shall be closed up and that because other houses are kept open and the trade drifts to them, they should pay the compensa-The form of compensation that I would propose would be fair and equit-It would be such a form by which you could give to every man, according to the amount of capital that was invested in the house that it was decided to close, a certain number of years before compelling him to close his doors. would pay no monetary compensation at all. That is the form of compensation

in which I believe; it is the form of compensation I would be prepared to support.

Mr. Taylor: What length of time do you consider a hotel should be in existence?

Mr. MURPHY: The compensation should be just according to the amount of capital invested. We could not give a small hotel at Bunbury the same time compensation that we would give for the Palace Hotel in Perth. A commission of reasonable and fair men who would gauge the amount of compensation requisite for the amount of capital invested would decide the term of years for which the licensee should be permitted to hold his license before being compelled to close.

Mr. Taylor: Then the length of existence would not play any part?

Mr. MURPHY: Not at all. license has the same existence, twelve months-no more, no less. The lease of the house may vary, but so far as the legal part of the question is concerned each hotel has a twelve months' license no more, no less. The Government are also going to introduce a Bill dealing with the public health. This is a Bill with which I am not conversant, except that during the time I had the honour to be mayor of Fremantle I acted as chairman of the local board of health, and found that the relations between our local body and the Central Board of Health were not as friendly as they might be. It did not seem to me that Bill then proposed was likely to create any more friendly feeling than that which existed. The member for East Fremantle this afternoon gave notice of his intention to move that the District Fire Brigades Bill be repealed. That has been brought about because the central authority administering fire brigade matters in the various centres of population in the State has not been able to grasp the differences of local conditions and to work as a central body should. I say here that my little experience of the Central Board of Health as chairman of a local board of health at Fremantle tended in a somewhat similar direction. and I am not altogether prepared to support a Bill that would give the Central Board of Health any more power to harass local boards of health than it has at present. However, I shall have to wait until I have the Bill in my hands to see how it will deal with that question.

Mr. Taylor: Do you believe in Ministerial control over public health?

Mr. MURPHY: I would far rather agree to local boards of health being directly responsible to the Minister than to have them directly responsible to some body up in Perth. I would rather give the Minister of the Department, the Colonial Secretary, the power to intervene or interfere, or to bring to task a local board of health when he thought fit in the performance of his duties, than permit what has gone on in the past. Take Fremantle, for instance. two inspectors under the local board to whom we were paying fair salaries, and they were going about ordering people to do certain things; but immediately they left the Central Board of Health inspector would go in and tell the people to do something else. That has taken place not only on one occasion, but on several occasions. Unless we have more harmonious working between the central local bodies, I would rather the Minister in charge of the Department should take charge of the whole thing. I have gone beyond the limit of time I allotted myself. Naturally, I do not feel so comfortable and so easy upon my first experience before such venerable senators as I will do in a few months.

Mr .Taylor: You are looking straight at me.

Mr. MURPHY: I did not mean the hon, member. I was looking at the member for Collie who sits behind the hon, member and who has less hair than the hon, member. I have taken up rather more time than I allotted myself, but I want first to thank members of the House for the extreme kindness and courtesy they have extended to me attempting my maiden speech on this occasion. And I also want to express the hope that during the session we may be able, by the co-operation of both sides, to do some good work as regards the greater de-

velopment and greater prosperity of the State in which we all live. I also want to say I really hope that each and every one of us will recognise it is possible for a member to differ from us without there being any occasion to impute to that member anything but the purest of motives with regard to the interests of the State. I also hope that during this session your duties, Mr. Speaker, in the Chair will be most light and very pleasant indeed; in fact, I think they will be, especially during the discussion of the Redistribution of Seats Bill.

Mr. Angwin: What about the Southside railway?

Mr. MURPHY: Surely the member for East Fremantle does not expect me to be so mean and contemptible on my first appearance in this House as to take away from him a subject he has rehearsed for the last three months? I would be mean indeed to refer to a subject on which the hon, member will electrify the House when he gets up. I have great pleasure in moving the adoption of the Address-in-Reply to the Speech of His Excellency the Governor.

Mr. MONGER (York): As a rule the bench behind the Ministry has been noted for consisting of silent and servile supporters of the Government, but I trust the efforts made by my friend, the member for Fremantle, will remove from the Opposition side at all events the impression that we on this bench are all servile and silent followers. I think the hon. member's maiden effort this afternoon is sufficient to show hon, members opposite that the member for Fremantle will be as big a fighter as those who occupy seats on the Opposition side. Now, in a British Assembly, such as we are proud to call this Assembly, it is fitting that the feeling uppermost in our minds at the present moment should be one of deep sorrow and regret at the death of our late great Sovereign, who will be known for all time amongst Britishspeaking peoples as Edward the Peacemaker.

Mr. Scaddan: Take it as read.

Mr. MONGER: That feeling of sorrow extends to his bereaved widow and family. It is, moreover, a sentiment shared by half a thousand million subjects of the greatest King who ever ruled the greatest Empire in the world's history. I was expecting in my few introductory remarks a joint expression of feeling, but no matter how serious one may attempt to make a question we always see emanating from the member for Ivanhoe that giggle—I hardly know how to express it—that giggle showing the hon, member's great self-importance, though really, outside himself, he is very little recognised in any part of Western Australia.

Mr. Scaddan: That is uncalled for.

Mr. MONGER: Mr. Speaker, I desire to tender our most hearty congratulations to you on your again resuming your high and honourable position which we, at all events from the Government side of the House. during the trying and onerous times in which you had to carry out your duties last session, recognised you filled with dignity and the high sense in which you have always carried out your high and honourable duties. I trust that during this session you will have the same pleasing times, at all events a no more arduous time than you have had on previons occasions. When I look at His Excellency's speech and see references made to our kindly associations with the Federal Parliament, and when I look around and note the kindly references that are made here, I must say that my sympathies go out to the Ministry and to every person who opposed the federation of Western Australia with the other States in the initiatory stages. And I must take this oportunity of complimenting the Acting Premier who, during the absence of the Premier in the old country, took upon himself to send a telegram-I will not say he took upon himself to send the telegram; I suppose I would be wrong in the eyes of the member for Ivanhoe-but he took upon himself the responsibility of sending a telegram to the high officials of South Australia asking that South Australia and Western Australia should combine and form their owe finances, and by their own happy and big prospects, build the trans-continental railway between them.

sort of a telegram did the Acting Premier get back from South Australia? The same sort of telegram, the same sort of feeling, the same sort of kindness that has emanated from other parts of Australia towards Western Australia! The same sort of high sentiment, the same sort of good feeling that existed when the members of the other side of the House allowed that great and honourable Senator of theirs to say that there was no available good land for settlement in Western Australia. Was there one of our Senators who attempted to refute the state ment?

Mr. Scaddan: Two fighting speeches this afternoon.

Mr. MONGER: I merely wish to make this reference to the kindly feeling that exists between other States and Western Australia, and to the kindly feeling that exists in the support given by those we return to represent Western Australia in the Federal Parliament. Had I not been interrupted in a most ungentlemanly way by the member for Ivanhoe, our meeting this afternoon would have been very different. When I see that giggling ass, it is apparent he thinks no one but himself has any intelligence. My desire point out the position which Western Australia is held by sister States, though T like the word "sister." T desire show from the expressions of opinion which have emanated from my friend the Minister for Agriculture and men like Professor Lowrie and Mr. Johnston and others, the great possibilities which exist in this State, and when Mr. Mitchell goes around the country he informs us that between Murchison and Albany we have between ninety and one hundred million acres of agricultural, horticultural and viticultural land.

Mr. Hudson: What did he say about Esperance?

Mr. MONGER: I will deal with Esperance later in the Session. When we consider that these are the men who in the future are to make their recommendations to the Government——

Mr. Heitmann: Mr. Mitchell?

Mr. MONGER: Mr. Mitchell has no more to do with it than I have. The

people who have to deal with the settlement along this one hundred million acres of land are men without a personal axe to grind, men who are regarded from every standpoint in Western Australia as the highest and the best authorities, even though they may disagree with my friend the member for Dundas and even though disagree with the member Cue. I say with all due respect that higher tribunal, no higher authority could be placed in power to look after the agricultural industry of Western Australia than the gentleman to whom I have referred. I say that no matter whether it be a railway from Norseman to Esperance or from Quairading to some point on the Eastern goldfields line the gentlemen who are now in charge and who supervise and inspect, are more capable and better able to judge than any of us who may be merely representing perhaps for the moment local or self interests. As long as these gentlemen keep that position, as long as they are allowed to determine the points to which these railways are going, so long shall I continue to have every confidence in the present Government. There is some talk of fresh legislation, and on those points I shall no doubt have opportunities of speaking later on. But even with something gripping at my throat, I cannot allow an opportunity like this to pass without expressing my personal hope that good feeling will exist between the people of the goldfields and the people of the coast and the representatives of the people of the goldfields and the representatives of the people of the coast. One would appear to imagine that there was an unkindly feeling existing on the part of the people of the coast towards those on the goldfields. I want as far as it is possible for me to do so, to convey to my friends on the opposite side-and I am speaking as an old West Australian—the impression that no one has a greater desire than I have to extend to the people on the goldfields a very hearty and cordial welcome. I say this likewise to those I have been ascociated with and to those who have been associated with me. I am sorry that there have been so many controversies.

but I cannot even at this late moment lose the opportunity of saying that as far as the Government are concerned, in their railway extension policy they have shown practically equal consideration towards the extension of the goldfields railway policy as they have to that which applies to agriculture, timber, and other resources. I am sorry that something has affected my voice this afternoon and that this will not permit me to go as fully into various subjects as I had intended doing. I do, however, want to congratulate the Government and the people of Western Australia upon having one of the biggest agricultural areas on the face of God's earth.

Mr. Hudson: How many millions did you say?

Mr. MONGER: Between ninety and one hundred millions, nearly twice the size of that natal State of yours—Victoria. Our timber industry is in a flourishing condition and it might be said that everything from the far Kimberleys to Eucla is in a flourishing condition and that Western Australia may well be proud of itself. I have pleasure in seconding the motion for the adoption of the Address-in-Reply.

On motion by Mr. Troy, debate adjourned.

House adjourned at 4.40 p.m.